Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 205611

45

ORIGIN SS-10

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /011 R

66632

DRAFTED BY: NEA/TUR:RSDILLON APPROVED BY: NEA/ALATHERTON

NEA/IRN: MR MIKLOS S/S-O:RMWRIGHT

----- 129745

O 171538Z OCT 73

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY TEHRAN IMMEDIATE

SECRETSTATE 205611

EXDIS

FOLLOWING RECD FM ANKARA OCT 16 REPEATED TO YOU: QUOTE

SECRETANKARA 08217

EXDIS

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: MARR, PFOR, TU

SUBJ: SOVIET REQUEST FOR OVERFLIGHTS OF TURKEY

REFS: (A) STATE 204600 (B) PARA 11, ANKARA 8207

1. PURSUANT REFTELS, I MET AGAIN THIS EVENING (OCT 16)
WITH FOREIGN MINISTER BAYULKEN. I ADVISED HIM THAT,
IMMEDIATELY UPON RECEIPT OF MY REPORT OF OUR CONVERSATION
EARLIER IN THE DAY THE DEPARTMENT HAD BY RETURN TELEGRAM
INSTRUCTED ME TO CALL ON HIM AGAIN TO REITERATE USG
CONCERN OVER POSSIBILITY OF TURKS PERMITTING THE
OVERFLIGHTS WE HAD DISCUSSED IN THE MORNING AND TO
EMPHASIZE OUR CONCERN OVER POTENTIAL RESULTANT
DISCRIMINATION AS BETWEEN US AND USSR ACTIVITIES.
BAYULKEN AT FIRST DIVERTED CONVERSATION WITH
(A) LENGTHY EXPOSITION OF TURK POPULATION'S ("WHICH
SECRET

PAGE 02 STATE 205611

IS 99.1 PERCENT MOSLEM") SYMPATHY FOR ARAB CAUSE
BECAUSE THEY WERE FIGHTING TO REGAIN LOST TERRITORIES OF 1967, (B) REPETITION OF ALL HIS BASIC
VIEWPOINTS REGARDING CURRENT ME SITUATION WHICH I HAVE

PREVIOUSLY REPORTED.

- 2. WHEN I BROUGHT HIM BACK TO THE SUBJECT AT HAND, HE MADE THE FOLLOWING POINTS: (A) THAT, DESPITE TURK POPULATION'S SYMPATHY FOR ARABS, GOT HAD TAKEN ADAMANT NEUTRAL POSITION; (B) THAT HE SHARED USG'S CONCERN OVER POSSIBLE OVERFLIGHTS AND HAD DONE WHAT HE COULD TO HEAD THEM OFF; (C) THAT MONTREUX CONVENTION WAS "OPEN" (IN THE SENSE THAT IT DID NOT GIVE TURKEY CLEAR RIGHT TO BAR SUCH FLIGHTS) AND THIS WEAKENED TURKEY'S POSITION IN THIS SITUATION. I RESPONDED THAT THROUGH AGREEMENTS SUBSEQUENT TO THE MONTREUX CONVENTION, WHICH HE HAD MENTIONED THIS MORNING, AND ON BASIS FO TURKISH PRACTICE WHICH SOVIETS ON EARLIER OCCASIONS HAD ACQUIESCED IN, TURKEY HAD ESTABLISHED BASIS ON WHICH TO OBJECT TO AND PREVENT SUCH FLIGHTS. BAYULKEN SAID THAT THIS WAS TURKISH POSITION, BUT HE HAD NOT YET HAD REPLY FROM SOVIETS, AND, OF COURSE, THEIR VIEWPOINTS ON THIS ISSUE WOULD ALSOBE IMPORTANT FACTOR.
- 3. I SAID I RECOGNIZED IT WOULD BE AN IMPORTANT FACTOR, BUT NOT THE CONTROLLING ONE-THAT THE CONTROLLING ONE WAS WHAT TURKEY THOUGHT AND, AS I UNDERSTOOD FROM WHAT THE FOREIGN MINISTER HAD SIAD TO ME, TURKEY'S POSITION WAS CLEAR THAT FLIGHT CARRYING ARMS SHOULD NOT BE PERMITTED. I SAID TURKEY HAD A REPUTATION FOR NOT KNUCKLING UNDER TO FRIENDS AND FOES ON MATTERS OF PRINCIPLE TO WHICH THEY ATTACHED IMPORTANCE, AND I HOPED THEY WOULD FIND A WAY TO AVOID DOING SO ON THIS OCCASION.
- 4. BAYULKEN SAID THAT THERE WAS NO GETTING AWAY FROM FACT THAT MONTREUX CONVENTION WAS A SOMEWHAT FLEXIBLE DOCUMENT AND THEN NOTED THAT THIS FLEXIBILITY HAD BEEN EMPLOYED BY TURKEY TO USG ADVANTAGE WHEN WE WISHED TO PASS CERTAIN MISSILE-CARRYING DESTROYERS THROUGH STRAITS.

SECRET

PAGE 03 STATE 205611

- 5. I POINTED OUT THE OBVIOUS DIFFERENCES IN THE TWO SITUATIONS AND NOTED THAT, IN ANY EVENT, IT WAS TURKEY WHICH HAD MADE BASIC DECISIONS IN THE US-TURKISH CASE, JUST AS I ASSUMED IT WOULD BE TURKEY WHICH WOUWD MAKE THE DECISION IN THE USSR-TURKISH QUESTION ABOUT OVERFLIGHTS.
- 6. THE CONVERSATION THEN WAS CONCLUDED WITH MUTUAL EXPRESSIONS OF HOPE THAT THE PROBLEM COULD BE RESOLVED SATISFACTORILY.
- 7. COMMENT: WHILE BAYULKEN WAS NON-COMMITTAL, HE DID TRY TO CONVEY TO ME IN THIS MEETING THAT HE WAS DOING

THE BEST HE COULD TO PREVENT THE OVERFLIGHTS. I
BELIEVE THE UNDERSCORING OF OUR CONCERN THROUGH THIS
SECOND MEETING WAS USEFUL, ESPECIALLY A WE UNDERSTAND
THAT THIS MATTER WILL BE DISCUSSED AT THE NATIONAL
SECURITY COUNCIL MEETING TOMORROW.
MACOMBER UNQUOTE
KISSINGER

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z Capture Date: 11 MAY 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: AIRCRAFT OVERFLIGHTS, DIPLOMATIC REPRESENTATIONS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 17 OCT 1973 Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: worrelsw
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973STATE205611

Document Number: 1973STATE205611
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: NEA/TÜR:RSDILLON

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: 11652 GDS Errors: n/a Film Number: P750020-0151 From: STATE

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19731066/abqcejci.tel Line Count: 118 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM

Office: ORIGIN SS

Original Classification: SECRET Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: Previous Classification: SECRET

Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Reference: (A) STATE 204600 (B) PARA 11, ANKARA 8207
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: worrelsw

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 26 DEC 2001

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <26-Dec-2001 by shawdg>; APPROVED <05 MAR 2002 by worrelsw>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: SOVIET REQUEST FOR OVERFLIGHTS OF TURKEY

TAGS: MARR, PFOR, UR, TU

To: TEHRAN

Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005